

Concerning the Double Scaling Limit in the $O(N)$ Vector Model in Four-Dimensions¹

by

Howard J. Schnitzer
Department of Physics
Brandeis University
Waltham, MA 02254

May 1992

Abstract

The $1/N$ expansion for the $O(N)$ vector model in four dimensions is reconsidered. It is emphasized that the effective potential for this model becomes everywhere complex just at the critical point, which signals an unstable vacuum. Thus a critical $O(N)$ vector model cannot be consistently defined in the $1/N$ expansion for four-dimensions, which makes the existence of a double-scaling limit for this theory doubtful.

¹Supported in part by the DOE under grant DE-FG02-92ER40706.

The matrix model approach [1, 2] to $c \leq 1$ matter coupled to two-dimensional gravity has provided a number of new insights, as well as a non-perturbative definition of the sum over all genus in string theory. An essential feature of these methods is the existence of a critical value of a coupling constant g_c , and a double scaling limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ and $g \rightarrow g_c$, such that an appropriate correlated scaling variable is kept fixed.

It is an interesting question to examine other field theories which allow for a double scaling limit. In particular the $O(N)$ vector model [3, 4, 5] and generalized vector models [6] have been studied for dimensions $D=0,1,2$, and 3 in the double scaling limit [7, 8, 9]. The possibility of a double scaling limit for the $(\Phi^2)^2$ $O(N)$ vector model has also been considered for $D=4$ [8, 9], which is the subject of this note. We will argue that precisely at the critical point the effective potential for the $D=4$ theory becomes everywhere complex, which undermines the consistency of the $D=4$ $O(N)$ vector model at the critical point, and thus makes the existence of a double scaling limit doubtful. This problem does not arise for $D < 4$.

The $1/N$ expansion of the $O(N)$ symmetric $\lambda\Phi^4$ theory in $D=4$ has been studied extensively [3, 4, 5]. We follow the analysis of ref. [4]. The unrenormalized Lagrangian is defined by

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu_0^2 \Phi^2 - \frac{\lambda_0}{4!N} (\Phi^2)^2 \quad (1)$$

where Φ_a is an N -component quantum field, and $\Phi^2 = \sum_{a=1}^N \Phi_a \Phi_a$. To leading order in $1/N$, the effective potential satisfies

$$\frac{dV(\phi^2)}{d\phi^2} = \frac{1}{2} \chi(\phi^2) \quad (2)$$

where χ is related to the constant classical field ϕ by the gap equation

$$\chi = \mu_0^2 + \frac{\lambda_0}{6} \left(\frac{\phi^2}{N} \right) + \frac{\lambda_0}{6} \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{k^2 + \chi} \quad (3)$$

where the integral is over Euclidean momenta. One introduces renormalized parameters μ^2 , λ , and

g , as well as renormalization group invariant quantities χ_0 and $\rho(\phi^2)$, with

$$\chi(\phi^2) = \rho(\phi^2) \chi_0 \quad . \quad (4)$$

The gap equation becomes

$$\rho \ln \rho = -\frac{96\pi^2}{\chi_0} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{g} \right) - \frac{16\pi^2}{\chi_0} \left(\frac{\phi^2}{N} \right) \quad (5)$$

which shows that $\chi(\phi^2)$, and hence $V(\phi^2)$, is determined once the two renormalization-group invariant parameters χ_0 and (μ^2/g) are specified.

From Figure 1 of ref 4, one observes that $\rho(\phi^2)$ is a double-valued function of $\rho \ln \rho$ for $-e^{-1} \leq \rho \ln \rho \leq 0$. These two branches are defined by

$$\text{branch I: } \rho_I < e^{-1}$$

$$\text{branch II: } \rho_{II} > e^{-1} \quad (6)$$

and where branches I and II of $V(\phi^2)$ denote that portion of the effective potential determined by the corresponding two branches of $\rho(\phi^2)$. Thus the effective potential $V(\phi^2)$ has two branches for $\phi^2 < \phi_b^2$, and was shown to be everywhere complex for $\phi^2 \geq \phi_b^2$, where ϕ_b denotes the branch point of the effective potential in the notation of ref. [4]. Further

$$\text{Re} \frac{dV(\phi^2)}{d\phi^2} \underset{\phi^2 \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \frac{-8\pi^2(\phi^2/N)}{\ln(\phi^2)} \quad (7)$$

so that the potential has no lower bound. [See Figures 2, 3, and 4 of ref. [4]]. Branch I of $V(\phi^2)$ always lies above branch II, and Green's functions built on vacua defined by branch I always contain tachyons. On the other hand Green's functions constructed with respect to a vacuum defined by branch II are free of tachyons in each order of the $1/N$ expansion. This requires $\rho_{II} > e^{-1}$, or equivalently $\phi^2 < \phi_b^2$. [However, even though a consistent perturbative $1/N$ expansion can be

defined with respect to vacua of branch II, the theory is unstable to (non-perturbative) tunneling to $\phi^2 \rightarrow \infty$].

Consider the inverse propagator for the composite field χ , computed for a vacuum defined by branch II. The result is [4]

$$N^{-1} D_{\chi\chi}^{-1}(p) = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} [1 + \ln \rho_{\text{II}}(\phi^2)] - 3[f(0, m^2) - f(-p^2, m^2)] \quad (8)$$

where $m = \chi(\phi^2)$ and

$$f(-p^2, m^2) = \frac{1}{48\pi^2} \sqrt{\frac{p^2 + 4m^2}{p^2}} \ln \left[\frac{\sqrt{p^2} + \sqrt{p^2 + 4m^2}}{2m} \right] \quad \text{for } p^2 \geq 0. \quad (9)$$

with the propagator defined by analytic continuation for other values of p^2 . This is identical to the 2-point function defined by DiVecchia, *et al.*, [9], *i.e.*,

$$-\Gamma(p) = N^{-1} D_{\chi\chi}^{-1}(p) \quad (10)$$

where $\Gamma(p)$ is equation (3.45) of ref. [9]. In particular our renormalization group invariant parameter

$$-[1 + \ln \rho_{\text{II}}(\phi^2)] = \frac{4\pi^2}{f(\hat{\mu})} + \ln \left(\frac{\hat{\mu}^2}{m^2} \right) \quad (11)$$

where the right-hand side of (11) is the parameterization of ref. [9].

The critical point of the theory is defined by $\Gamma(0) = 0$, which requires

$$1 + \ln \rho_{\text{II}}(\phi^2) = 0 \quad (12a)$$

or equivalently

$$\rho_{\text{II}}(\phi^2) = e^{-1} \quad (12b)$$

which corresponds to the bound-state mass $m_B^2 = 0$, and

$$(\phi_b^2/N) = 0 \quad (13)$$

[c.f. Equation (5.25b) and (5.29) of ref. [4]]. Equation (13) implies from the analysis of ref. [4] that the leading term in the $1/N$ expansion of the effective potential $V(\phi^2)$ becomes everywhere complex, just at the critical point defined by $m_B^2 = 0$. The next to leading term in the $1/N$ expansion for $V(\phi^2)$ is also everywhere complex when $m_B^2 = 0$ [4, 5]. Presumably this is a feature of every order in $1/N$.

Since an effective potential that is everywhere complex signals an unstable vacuum, we conclude that a critical $O(N)$ vector model cannot be consistently defined in the $1/N$ expansion for $D=4$, and therefore there the double scaling limit for this theory is problematical.

References

- [1] E. Brézin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi, and J.B. Zuber, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **59**, 35 (1978).
- [2] E. Brézin and V.A. Kazakov, *Phys. Lett.* **B236**, 144 (1990); M.R. Douglas and S. Shenker, *Nucl. Phys.* **B355**, 635 (1990); D.J. Gross and A.A. Migdal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **64**, 127 (1990).
- [3] L. Dolan and R. Jackiw, *Phys. Rev.* **D9**, 3320 (1974); H.J. Schnitzer, *Phys. Rev.* **D10**, 1800 (1974); *Phys. Rev.* **D10**, 2042 (1974); S. Coleman, R. Jackiw, and H.D. Politzer, *Phys. Rev.* **D10**, 2491 (1974); M. Kobayashi and T. Kugo, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **54**, 1537 (1975).
- [4] L.F. Abbott, J.S. Kang, and H.J. Schnitzer, *Phys. Rev.* **D13**, 2212 (1976).
- [5] R.G. Root, *Phys. Rev.* **D10**, 3322 (1974).
- [6] H.J. Schnitzer, *Nucl. Phys.* **B109**, 297 (1976).
- [7] J. Ambjørn, B. Durhuus, and T. Jónsson, *Phys. Lett.* **B244**, 403 (1990); S. Nishigaki and T. Yoneya, *Nucl. Phys.* **B348**, 787 (1991); A. Anderson, R.C. Meyers, and V. Periwal, *Phys. Lett.* **254B**, 89 (1991); P. Di Vecchia, M. Kato, and N. Ohta, *Nucl. Phys.* **B357**, 495 (1991).
- [8] J. Zinn-Justin, *Phys. Lett.* **257B**, 335 (1991).
- [9] P. Di Vecchia, M. Kato, and N. Ohta, *Int'l. Journ. of Mod. Phys.* **7A**, 1391 (1992).